

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

CHARLES SALMON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARBM, INC. DBA LIVERMORE
HONDA, FIRST TECH FEDERAL
CREDIT UNION, INC.; EXPERIAN
INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01789-WBS-JDP

**ORDER ALLOWING PLAINTIFF
TO FILE FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

The Court having reviewed the Parties' Stipulation Allowing Plaintiff to File a First Amended Complaint [Dkt. 14] and Plaintiff's Notice of Errata [Dkt. 17], and good cause appearing therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff, Charles Salmon, is granted leave to amend to file his First Amended Complaint for Damages, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that Defendant's responsive pleading shall be due thirty (30) days after the First Amended Complaint for Damages is filed.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the First Amended Complaint for
2 Damages is deemed filed as of the date this Order is transmitted via the CM/ECF
3 system.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 Dated: December 14, 2023



WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT “A”

MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC
G. Thomas Martin, III (SBN 218456)
Nicholas J. Bontrager (SBN 252114)
4605 Lankershim Blvd., Ste. 535
Toluca Lake, CA 91602
T: (323) 940-1700
F: (323) 238-8094
Tom@mblawapc.com
Nick@mblawapc.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CHARLES SALMON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO DIVISION

CHARLES SALMON,
Plaintiff,
vs.

ARBM, INC. DBA LIVERMORE
HONDA, FIRST TECH FEDERAL
CREDIT UNION, INC.; EXPERIAN
INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01789-WBS-JDP

**[PROPOSED] FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL FOR VIOLATIONS
OF:**

- 1) THE FAIR CREDIT
REPORTING ACT, 15 U.S.C.
§ 1681, *ET. SEQ.***
- 2) CALIFORNIA CONSUMER
CREDIT REPORTING
AGENCIES ACT [CAL. CIV.
§ 1785.25];**
- 3) NEGLIGENCE**

DEMAND EXCEEDS \$25,000

INTRODUCTION

1. (“Plaintiff”) brings this action to secure redress from U LVH LLC dba LIVERMORE HONDA (erroneously named as ARBM, INC. dba LIVERMORE HONDA)(“Livermore Honda”), FIRST TECH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION (“First Tech”), and EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. (“Experian”) (collectively “Defendants”) for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act (“CCCRAA”), and for Negligence.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as Plaintiff’s claims arise under the laws of the United States.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) Defendant’s corporate headquarters is within this district, and Defendants transact business here.

4. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Sacramento, California and is a “consumer” as defined by the CCCRAA and the FCRA.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is an individual, residing in San Joaquin County, California. Plaintiff is a natural person.

5. Defendants LIVERMORE HONDA, FIRST TECH and EXPERIAN are California corporations.

6. Defendant FIRST TECH is engaged in the practice of furnishing consumer information to consumer reporting agencies, and is therefore a “furnisher of information” as contemplated by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a) & (b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a). Defendant FIRST TECH is a “person” as defined by the FCRA, Section 1681a(b), and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(j).

1 7. At all relevant times herein, Defendant EXPERIAN was an entity
2 which, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly
3 engaged in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer
4 credit information or other consumer information for the purpose of furnishing
5 consumer reports to third parties, and used some means or facility of interstate
6 commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports, and is
7 therefore a "consumer reporting agency" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
8 Likewise, Experian is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of the
9 CCRAA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(d).

10 8. Defendants acted through its agents, employees, officers, members,
11 directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees,
12 representatives and insurers.

13 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that
14 Defendants are responsible for the acts, occurrences and transactions as officers,
15 directors or managing agents of Defendants, or as its agents, servants, employees,
16 and that each of them are legally liable to Plaintiff, as set forth below.

17 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 10. Within the last 2 years, Plaintiff purchased a vehicle warranty from
19 Defendant Livermore Honda.

20 11. Plaintiff requested a cancellation with of the vehicle warranty with
21 Defendant Livermore Honda, but Livermore Honda negligently delayed getting
22 his vehicle warranty cancelled and GAP Insurance applied as provided for in the
23 contract and his refund issued.

24 12. Despite Plaintiff's exhaustive efforts to have his money returned,
25 Defendant Livermore Honda repeatedly, deliberately, willfully, intentionally,
26 recklessly, and negligently failed to cancel Plaintiff's vehicle warranty, to have
27 GAP Insurance issue or to return Plaintiff's money.
28

1 13. Defendant First Tech Federal Credit Union falsely and inaccurately
2 reported late payments regarding Plaintiff for a balance that Plaintiff never owed
3 or should have owed.

4 14. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff
5 has suffered significant credit damage, great physical, emotional and mental pain
6 and anguish, and Plaintiff will continue to suffer the same for an indefinite time in
7 the future, all to Plaintiff's great detriment and loss.

8 15. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff
9 has suffered actual damages in the form of financial and dignitary harm arising
10 from the injury to credit rating and reputation, and Plaintiff will continue to suffer
11 the same for an indefinite time in the future, all to Plaintiff's great detriment and
12 loss.
13

14 16. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff
15 has suffered a decreased credit score as a result of the negative entry appearing on
16 Plaintiff's credit file, which has hindered and harmed her ability to obtain credit,
17 and diminished her existing and future creditworthiness.

18 17. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' above-referenced
19 willful and/or negligent violations of the law, Plaintiff has suffered actual
20 damages including, but not limited to, reviewing credit reports, attorney's fees,
21 and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.

22 18. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual
23 damages and serious financial and pecuniary harm arising from monetary losses
24 related to credit denials, loss of use of funds, loss of credit and loan opportunities,
25 excessive and/or elevated interest rate and finance charges, out-of-pocket
26 expenses including, but not limited to, local or long distance telephone charges,
27 postage, faxing and other related costs, all of which will continue into the future
28 to Plaintiff's great detriment and loss.

19. Further, Plaintiff has disputed Experian's credit reporting of the First Tech Federal Credit Union trade-line. However, Defendant First Tech continues to furnish the inaccurate information regarding purported late payments by Plaintiff and Defendant Experian continues to report this inaccurate information.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

(Against Defendant First Tech)

20. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

21. The FCRA requires a furnisher such as Defendant after receiving notice from a credit reporting agency that a consumer disputes information that is being reported by that furnisher, to conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information, to review all relevant information, to report the results of the investigation to the credit reporting agency, and, if the investigation reveals that the information is incomplete or inaccurate, to report those results to all other credit reporting agencies to which the furnisher has provided the inaccurate information.

22. Within the last two years, Defendant provided inaccurate information to the credit reporting agencies regarding Plaintiff.

23. Within the past two years, Plaintiff notified the three major credit bureaus that his credit reports concerning Defendant's trade-line was inaccurate. Thereafter, the three major credit bureaus notified Defendant that Plaintiff was disputing the information Defendant had furnished to them.

24. Defendant violated sections 1681n and 1681o of the FCRA by engaging in the following conduct that violates 15 U.S.C. §s-2(b):

a. willfully and negligently failing to conduct an investigation of the inaccurate information that Plaintiff disputed;

1 b. willfully and negligently failing to review all relevant information
2 concerning Plaintiff's account provided to Defendant;

3 c. willfully and negligently failing to report the results of investigations
4 to the relevant consumer reporting agencies;

5 d. willfully and negligently failing to report the inaccurate status of the
6 inaccurate information to all credit reporting agencies;

7 e. willfully and negligently failing to properly participate, investigate
8 and comply with the reinvestigations that were conducted by any and all credit
9 reporting agencies concerning the inaccurate information disputed by Plaintiff;

10 f. willfully and negligently continuing to furnish and disseminate
11 inaccurate and derogatory credit, account and other information concerning the
12 Plaintiff to credit reporting agencies;

13 g. willfully and negligently continuing to furnish and disseminate
14 inaccurate and derogatory credit, account and other information concerning
15 Plaintiff's account to credit reporting agencies; and h. willfully and negligently
16 failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information
17 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b).
18

19 25. In attempting to collect the aforementioned alleged debt, Defendant,
20 by and through their agents and employees, violated the provisions of the Fair
21 Credit Reporting Act by furnishing information on the Plaintiff to one or more
22 consumer reporting agencies notwithstanding the fact that Defendant knew, or
23 consciously avoided knowing, that such information was inaccurate.

24 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

25 **(California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act Cal. Civ. Code**

26 **§§1785.25(a))**

27 **(Against Defendant First Tech)**
28

1 26. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same
2 were set forth at length herein.

3 27. California Civil Code § 1785.25 (a) states that a "person shall not
4 furnish information on a specific transaction or experience to any consumer
5 credit reporting agency if the person knows or should know the information is
6 incomplete or inaccurate.

7 28. Defendants negligently and willfully furnished information to the
8 credit reporting agencies it knew or should have known was inaccurate.

9 29. Based on these violations of Civil Code § 1785.25 (a), Plaintiff is
10 entitled to the remedies afforded by Civil Code § 1785.31, including actual
11 damages, attorney's fees, pain and suffering, injunctive relief, and punitive
12 damages in an amount not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000, for each
13 violation as the Court deems proper.

14 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.***

16 **(Against Defendant Experian)**

17 30 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and
18 every paragraph set forth above

19 31. The Fair Credit Reporting Act provides that if the completeness or
20 accuracy of any item of information contained in a consumer's file at a consumer
21 reporting agency is disputed by the consumer and the consumer notifies the
22 agency directly of such dispute, the agency shall conduct a reasonable
23 reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate, or
24 delete the item from the file within 30 days of receiving the consumer's dispute
25 notice. 15 USC § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

26 32. The Act further requires the credit reporting agency, within 5
27 business days of receiving notice of the consumer's dispute, to provide
28

1 notification of the dispute to the person who furnished the information in dispute
2 and requires the credit reporting agency to "include all relevant information
3 regarding the dispute that the agency received from the consumer." 15 USC §
4 1681i(a)(2) (A). In conducting its reinvestigation of disputed information in a
5 consumer report, the credit reporting agency is required to "review and consider
6 all relevant information submitted by the consumer."

7 33. Within the two years preceding the filing of this complaint, Plaintiff
8 notified Defendant of an inaccuracy contained in its report and asked Defendant
9 to correct the inaccuracy.

10 34. Defendant failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the
11 inaccuracies that Plaintiff disputed, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a) and
12 2(b).

13 35. Defendant failed to review and consider all relevant information
14 submitted by Plaintiff.

15 36. As a result of Defendant's failure to conduct a reasonable
16 reinvestigation in accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(I),
17 Defendant has failed to remove erroneous information in its credit reporting
18 relating to Plaintiff, after having been notified that Plaintiff disputes that
19 information.

20 37. Defendant's failure to comply with the requirements of 15 U.S.C.
21 §1681i(a)(l) was willful within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a) and
22 negligent within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a).

23 38. As a result of Defendant's willful and negligent noncompliance with
24 the requirements of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681e(b) and 1681i(a)(1), Plaintiff has suffered
25 damage to her credit ratings and other actual damages and is entitled to actual,
26 statutory and punitive damages under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a) and o(a).

1 ///
2

3 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **Willful Failure to Employ Reasonable Procedures To Ensure Maximum
Accuracy of Credit Reports in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal.**

5 **Civ. Code § 1785.14(b)**

6 **(Against Defendant Experian)**

7 39. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same
8 were set forth at length herein.

9 40. Defendant is regularly engaged in the practice of assembling and
10 evaluating consumer credit information for the purpose of preparing consumer
11 reports, as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d) and Cal. Civ. Code §
12 1785.3(c), commonly referred to as Credit Reports, and furnishing these Credit
13 Reports to third parties.

14 41. Defendant uses means and facilities of interstate commerce for the
15 purpose of preparing and furnishing Credit Reports and, hence, is a "consumer
16 reporting agency" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(t). Defendant is
17 likewise a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §
18 1785.3(d).

19 42. In preparing Credit Reports, Defendant has failed to use reasonable
20 procedures to, as required by law, "assure maximum possible accuracy" of
21 information relating to inaccurately reported information, in violation of 15
22 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.14(b).

23 43. As a result of Defendant's failure to use reasonable procedures in
24 accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code §
25 1785.14(b), Defendant is reporting inaccurate and materially misleading
26 information about Plaintiff.
27
28

1 44. Defendant's failure to comply with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. §
2 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.14(b) is willful within the meaning of 15
3 U.S.C. § 1681n(a) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2), respectively.

4 45. As a result of Defendant's willful noncompliance with the
5 requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code. § 1785.14(b), Plaintiff
6 is entitled to statutory and punitive damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1) and
7 (a)(2) and punitive damages under Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1785.31(a)(1) and (a)(2),
8 respectively.

9 46. As a further result of Defendant's willful noncompliance with the
10 requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code. § 1785.14(b), Plaintiff
11 has suffered damage to his credit rating and other actual damages.
12

13 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 **Negligent Failure to Employ Reasonable Procedures To Ensure Maximum**
15 **Accuracy of Credit Reports in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal.**
16 **Civ. Code § 1785.14(b)**

17 **(Against Defendant Experian)**

18 47. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same
19 were set forth at length herein.

20 48. In preparing credit reports relating to Plaintiff, Defendant has failed
21 to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum accuracy of information it
22 puts in Credit Reports in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code §
23 1785.14(b).

24 49. As a result of Defendant's failure to follow reasonable procedures in
25 accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code §
26 1785.14(b), Defendant is falsely reporting an account that Plaintiff never opened,
27 reporting a balance that Plaintiff does not owe, and that Plaintiff allegedly made
28

late payments.

50. Defendant's failure to comply with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.14(b) is negligent within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(l), respectively.

40. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.14(b), Plaintiff has suffered damage to his credit rating and other actual damages

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

(As to Defendant Livermore Honda)

51. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

52. Defendant Livermore Honda breached its duties by failing to timely notify Defendant FIRST TECH of the vehicle warranty cancellation.

53. Defendant Livermore Honda, through its negligence alleged herein, ignored its responsibilities and duty of care owed to Plaintiff and unreasonably and unjustifiably jeopardized Plaintiff's payment history with Defendant First Tech and Plaintiff's credit rating with the credit reporting agencies.

54. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant Livermore Honda's negligence, Plaintiff has been significantly damaged as stated herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

55. Statutory damages of \$ 1,000.00 per willful violation of the FCRA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(o);

56. An award of actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, both generally and pursuant to *Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(A)* and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

1 57. An award of punitive damages under 15 U.S.C § 1681(n) and
2 1681(o);

3 58. An award of punitive damages of \$ 100.00 - \$ 5,000.00 per
4 willful violation of *Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a)*;

5 59. An award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code
6 § 1785.31(d) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(o).

7 . Providing such further relief as may be just and proper.

8 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

9 Please take notice that Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.
10
11
12
13

14 **RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,**

15 Dated: December 14, 2023

MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC

16
17 By: /s/ G. Thomas Martin, III

18 G. Thomas Martin, III
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28